

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

9 LAWRENCE HART, CLYDE STEPHEN  
10 LEWIS, JAMES PRESTI, and MICHAEL  
11 RALLS, individually and on behalf of all  
12 others similarly situated,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 CF ARCIS VII LLC d/b/a THE CLUB AT  
16 SNOQUALMIE RIDGE, d/b/a TPC AT  
17 SNOQUALMIE RIDGE, and d/b/a  
18 SNOQUALMIE RIDGE GOLF CLUB, CF  
19 ARCIS IV HOLDINGS, LLC, ARCIS  
20 EQUITY PARTNERS, LLC, BLAKE S.  
21 WALKER, individually and on behalf of the  
22 marital community of BLAKE S. WALKER  
23 and JANE DOE WALKER, and  
24 BRIGHTSTAR GOLF SNOQUALMIE, LLC,

25 Defendants.

NO. 2:17-CV-01932-RSM

**SETTLEMENT ORDER AND FINAL  
JUDGMENT**

26 THIS MATTER came before the Court on Plaintiffs' motion for final approval of class  
27 action settlement and Class Counsel's motion for an award of fees and costs. The Court has  
28 considered all papers filed and proceedings in this matter and is fully informed regarding the  
29 facts surrounding the proposed Settlement. Based upon this information, the Court approves the  
30 proposed Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Court hereby enters this Final

1 Judgment, which constitutes a final adjudication on the merits of all claims of the Settlement  
2 Class. It is **HEREBY ORDERED** that the motions are **GRANTED**, the Settlement Class is  
3 certified, the Settlement Agreement is finally approved, and Class Counsel are awarded  
4 \$59,000 in fees and costs.

5 A. On September 9, 2019, this Court granted preliminary approval of the proposed  
6 class action settlement between Plaintiffs and Defendants CF Arcis VII LLC dba The Club at  
7 Snoqualmie Ridge, CF Arcis IV Holdings, LLC, and Arcis Equity Partners, LLC (collectively,  
8 “Arcis”). *See* Dkt. 43. The Settlement resolves all of the Settlement Class’s claims against  
9 Arcis in exchange for Arcis’s agreement to provide an agreed number of immediate refunds  
10 upon approval, to provide a minimum number of refunds for three years following January 1,  
11 2020, and to provide future refunds as set forth in the Agreement.

12 B. Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have filed motions, pursuant to Rule 23 of the  
13 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, for an order (a) finally approving the Agreement, which will  
14 dismiss this Action with prejudice, and (b) granting Class Counsel’s request for an award of  
15 fees and costs.

16 C. The Court has reviewed and considered all papers filed in support of and in  
17 opposition to the Settlement, and all exhibits thereto. On February 7, 2020, the Court also held  
18 a hearing, after notice to the Settlement Class was sent, at which time the Parties and all  
19 interested persons were heard in support of and in opposition to the Settlement (“Settlement  
20 Hearing”). The Court has engaged in these actions in order to confirm that the Settlement is  
21 fair, reasonable, and adequate, and to determine whether the Final Approval Order should be  
22 entered in this Action pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement.

23 D. Upon consideration of the above, the Court finds that the Settlement is fair,  
24 adequate, and reasonable to the Settlement Class, within the authority of the Parties, and the  
25 result of extensive arm’s-length negotiations.

26 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:  
27

1           1.       The definitions and provisions of the Settlement Agreement and Release of  
2 Claims (the “Agreement”) are incorporated in this Order as though fully set forth herein.

3           2.       This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Agreement with  
4 respect to and over all parties to the Agreement, including Plaintiffs and all members of the  
5 Settlement Class.

6           3.       The Court approves the Settlement and finds the Settlement is, in all respects,  
7 fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class, within the authority of the parties, and  
8 the result of extensive arm’s length negotiations with the guidance of an experienced mediator.

9           4.       This Court confirms that the proposed Settlement Class satisfies the  
10 requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P.23, as preliminarily found in the Court’s Order Granting  
11 Preliminary Approval of Class Settlement, Conditionally Certifying Settlement Class, and  
12 Approving Form and Manner of Notice (“Preliminary Approval Order”). Accordingly, this  
13 Court makes final the portion of its Preliminary Approval Order finding the requirements of  
14 Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 to be satisfied for settlement purposes.

15          5.       Two members of the Settlement Class—John Yae, and Ray and Shannon  
16 Coleman—have timely requested to be excluded from the Settlement Class and the Settlement.  
17 Accordingly, this Order shall not bind or affect these Settlement Class Members.

18          6.       There was one timely objection to the Settlement by Robert C. Feldmann, which  
19 after due consideration of all points made, the Court has overruled. Mr. Feldmann’s objection  
20 specifically indicated that “[i]f this settlement goes forward in this form, I have no choice but to  
21 request that I be excluded from the class.” Dkt. #47 at 2. The Court interprets this as a timely  
22 request to be excluded from the Settlement Class and Settlement and, accordingly, this Order  
23 shall not bind or affect Robert C. Feldmann.

24          7.       Neither this Final Judgment nor the Agreement is an admission or concession by  
25 Arcis of the validity of any claims or of any liability or wrongdoing or of any violation of law.  
26 This Final Judgment and the Agreement do not constitute a concession and shall not be used as  
27

1 an admission or indication of any wrongdoing, fault, or omission by Arcis or any other person  
2 in connection with any transaction, event, or occurrence, and neither this Final Judgment nor  
3 the Agreement nor any related documents in this proceeding, nor any reports or accounts  
4 thereof, shall be offered or received in evidence in any civil, criminal, or administrative action  
5 or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to consummate or enforce this  
6 Final Judgment, the Agreement, and all releases given thereunder, or to establish the  
7 affirmative defenses of *res judicata* or collateral estoppel barring the pursuit of claims released  
8 in the Agreement.

9         8.       This Court hereby dismisses with prejudice all claims of members of the  
10 Settlement Class that have been, or could have been, alleged in this action, including the claim  
11 that Arcis unlawfully introduced non-refundable memberships and materially limited the  
12 frequency with which members with refundable memberships could receive refunds without  
13 the required notice or membership approval, as well as any other claims arising from the 2013  
14 revisions to the June 30, 2008 Membership and Operating Policies.

15         9.       Representative Plaintiffs, for themselves and as the representatives of the  
16 Settlement Class, and on behalf of each Class Member who has not timely opted out, and each  
17 of their respective agents, successors, heirs, assigns, and any other person who can claim by or  
18 through them in any manner, fully, finally, and forever irrevocably release, relinquish, and  
19 forever discharge with prejudice all Released Claims against the Released Parties.

20         10.      The Notice transmitted to Settlement Class Members fully and accurately  
21 informed Settlement Class Members of all material elements of the Settlement and constituted  
22 valid, sufficient, and due notice to all such members. The Notice was the best practicable under  
23 the circumstances. The Notice satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23  
24 and the requirements of constitutional due process, and with all other applicable law. The  
25 Notice was reasonably calculated under the circumstances to apprise Settlement Class  
26 Members of the pendency of this action, all material elements of the Settlement, and their  
27

1 opportunity to exclude themselves from, object to, or comment on the Settlement and appear at  
2 the final fairness hearing. The Court has afforded a full opportunity to all Settlement Class  
3 Members to be heard. Accordingly, the Court determines that all members of the Settlement  
4 Class, except those who timely excluded themselves from the Settlement Class, are bound by  
5 this Judgment and Final Order.

6         11.     The Settlement requires Arcis to pay a \$240,000 Distribution Amount into a  
7 non-reversionary fund. From this amount, the Parties will make Immediate Refunds to eight  
8 Settlement Class Members totaling \$181,000.

9         12.     The Settlement also provides prospective relief. Arcis will make a minimum of  
10 four refunds per year for three years. Arcis will also pay a refund in the amount of 70% of the  
11 then-current price of a refundable membership each time it collects cash from membership fees  
12 (from the sale of both refundable and non-refundable memberships) equal to three times the  
13 refund amounts. And once the Club has 420 or more active golf members, Arcis will increase  
14 the frequency of refunds. Each time Arcis collects cash from membership fees (from the sale of  
15 both refundable and non-refundable memberships) equal to 1.5 times the refund amount, Arcis  
16 will pay a refund in the amount of 85% of the then-current price of a refundable membership.  
17 In all cases, Arcis will protect against any artificial reduction of the refund amount by agreeing  
18 to never sell refundable memberships at a price less than 150% of the then-current published  
19 price for nonrefundable memberships. Finally, for seven years, Arcis shall prepare and furnish  
20 to Settlement Class Members, on a semi-annual basis, statements detailing the Net Membership  
21 Fees Arcis has received, and the number and amount of refunds made in the previous year.  
22 Arcis shall send the statements by email, if available, or by U.S. mail to all Settlement Class  
23 Members who have not yet received a refund.

24         13.     The Court finally approves this Settlement, and finds that it is in all respects fair,  
25 reasonable, and adequate, and in the best interest of the Settlement Class Members. The Parties  
26 dispute the validity of the claims in the action, and their dispute underscores not only the  
27

1 uncertainty of the outcome, but also why the Court finds the Settlement Agreement to be fair,  
2 reasonable, and adequate. Had they continued to litigate, Plaintiffs would still have needed to  
3 prevail on a motion for class certification and to defeat a possible summary judgment motion  
4 before even getting to trial. There, they faced the challenge of convincing a jury that Arcis  
5 breached the membership and operating policies, and that Settlement Class Members suffered  
6 damages from the breach. They also would have faced the challenge of surviving any appeals  
7 of the Court's class certification order and any other rulings rendered during trial. Class  
8 Counsel have reviewed the Settlement Agreement and find it to be in the best interest of  
9 Settlement Class Members. For all these reasons, the Court finds that the uncertainties of  
10 continued litigation in both the trial and appellate courts, as well as the expense associated with  
11 it, weigh in favor of Settlement approval. In making this determination, the Court has  
12 considered the criteria set forth in the recently amended Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23,  
13 and the factors outlined in *Hanlon v. Chrysler Corp.*, 150 F.3d 1011, 1026 (9th Cir. 1998), and  
14 *Churchill Village, L.L.C. v. General Electric*, 361 f.3d 566, 575-76 (9th Cir. 2004).

15       14.     Within ten (10) days after the filing of the proposed Agreement in this Court,  
16 Arcis served a notice of the proposed settlement upon the appropriate state official of each  
17 State in which a Settlement Class Member resides, and upon the Attorney General of the  
18 United States. The Court finds that the notice provided by Arcis satisfied the requirements of  
19 28 U.S.C. § 1715(b), and that more than ninety (90) days have elapsed since Arcis provided the  
20 required notice, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1715(d).

21       15.     Without affecting the finality of this Final Judgment, the Court retains  
22 continuing jurisdiction over (a) implementation of the Agreement, the payment of the  
23 Distribution Amount, and attorneys' fees and costs contemplated by the Agreement, until each  
24 and every act agreed to be performed pursuant to the Agreement has been performed; and  
25 (b) all parties to this action and members of the Settlement Class for the purpose of enforcing  
26 and administering the Agreement.  
27

1           16.     The Court approves payment of attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of  
2 \$59,000. This amount shall be taken out of the Distribution Amount that is paid by Arcis. The  
3 Court finds this amount to be appropriate and reasonable based on the following: (a) Class  
4 Counsel achieved a favorable result for the Settlement Class by obtaining Arcis's agreement to  
5 certain refunds and changes to the method for calculating and providing refunds to Settlement  
6 Class Members; (b) Class Counsel devoted substantial effort to pre-and post-filing  
7 investigation, legal analysis, litigation, and mediation; (c) Class Counsel prosecuted the  
8 Settlement Class's claims on a contingent fee basis, investing significant time and  
9 accumulating costs with no guarantee that they would receive compensation for their services  
10 or recover their expenses; (d) Class Counsel employed their knowledge of and experience with  
11 class action litigation in achieving a valuable settlement for the Settlement Class, in spite of  
12 Arcis's possible legal defenses and its experienced and capable counsel; (e) Class Counsel have  
13 a contingent fee agreement with Plaintiffs, who have reviewed the Agreement and been  
14 informed of Class Counsel's attorneys' fee and cost application and have approved; (f) the  
15 Notice informed Settlement Class Members of the amount and nature of Class Counsel's fee  
16 and cost request under the Agreement, Class Counsel filed and posted their Fee Application in  
17 time for Settlement Class Members to make a meaningful decision whether to object to the Fee  
18 Application; and (g) the Court finds that the Settlement Agreement was negotiated at arms'  
19 length and without collusion. For these reasons, the Court hereby approves Class Counsel's Fee  
20 and Cost Application.

21           17.     The Court finds that no justifiable reason exists for delaying entry of this  
22 Settlement Order and Judgment, and expressly directs that this Settlement Order and Judgment  
23 be entered as final and appealable and the case dismissed with prejudice.

24 //

25 //

26 //

1 The Clerk of the Court shall enter this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

2 Dated this 7<sup>th</sup> day of February 2020.

3  
4 

5 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
6 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27